Online Experiments for Language Scientists

Lecture 5: Frequency learning

Kenny Smith

kenny.smith@ed.ac.uk

Heads-up about Assessment 1

- Due on 11th November
- Read the assignment brief (https://kennysmithed.github.io/oels2021/AssignmentBrief.pdf)
- I'll set aside time for questions in next week's lecture
- No questions after 11am on Monday 8th November!

Ferdinand, Kirby & Smith (2019)

Vanessa Ferdinand
(formerly Edinburgh, now Melbourne)

Simon Kirby
(Edinburgh)

Ferdinand, V., Kirby, S., & Smith, K. (2019). The cognitive roots of regularization in language. *Cognition, 184,* 53-68.

Large frequency-learning experiment run on MTurk

- Do domain (linguistic vs non-linguistic) and demand (tracking 1 vs 6 frequency distributions) influence **regularization behaviour**?

Variation in language

Languages exhibit variation at all levels (paraphrase, synonymy, allomorphy, allophony), but variation is **constrained**

- Languages have lexicons and grammars
- Linguistic (phonological, lexical, syntactic, semantic) or sociolinguistic conditioning of alternation
  - English past tense allomorphy: hunt**/ɪd/** vs fish**/t/**
  - Noun classes: ***la*** *chaise,* ***le*** *sofa,* ***la*** *fille,* ***le*** *garçon*
  - T-glottaling: glo**/t/**al vs glo**/ʔ/**al

Why is language like this?

Variation-learning experiments



glim cow fip
glim cow tay





ooshra buzzo trunko
ooshra trunko tid buzzo

buv
kal





Low load, observation phase



Low load, production phase



High load, observation phase



High load, production phase



Sample size, study duration etc

- US-based MTurk workers
- N=512 after exclusions
- 4 minutes (1-item task) or 11.5 minutes (6-item task)
- **$0.10** (1-item task) or **$0.60** (6-item task) 😞


5:5
6:4
7:3
8:2
9:1
10:0
marbles1
marbles6
words1
words6
% participants
100
75
50
25
0 2 4 6 8 10
output count
of variant x
(from ratio x:y)


5:5
6:4
7:3
8:2
9:1
10:0
marbles1
marbles6
words1
words6
% participants
100
75
50
25
0 2 4 6 8 10
output count
of variant x
(from ratio x:y)


0.1
0.0
-0.1
-0.2
-0.3
-0.4
-0.5
-0.6
mean
change in
entropy
***
***
***
marbles1
marbles6
words1
words6

Regularization during encoding, or retrieval?

tef	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
gos	100	90	80	70	60	50	40	30	20	10	0

fud	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
pon	100	90	80	70	60	50	40	30	20	10	0

seb	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
nuk	100	90	80	70	60	50	40	30	20	10	0



Individual differences



Minority regularizers



Simulating person-to-person transmission



Simulating person-to-person transmission







Simulating person-to-person transmission





Ferdinand et al.’s conclusions

Effects of domain and demand on regularization

- More regularization on linguistic than non-linguistic tasks (why?)
- More regularization when under greater cognitive load

Regularization effects mainly in recall (not encoding)

Simulation of transmission can reveal additional differences in regularization (cf. marbles6 vs words1)

Time for Q&A/discussion on this week’s reading

Next up

Wednesday, 9am: lab on Gather

- A frequency learning experiment
- Quite a lot in this week's practical!

Next week:

- Perceptual learning, audio stimuli