Online Experiments for Language Scientists

Lecture 4: Self-paced reading

Kenny Smith

kenny.smith@ed.ac.uk

Matter arising from the lab

• "How do I make the prompt appear **above** the buttons on an htmlbutton-response trial?"

Enochson & Culbertson (2015)

Enochson, K., & Culbertson, J. (2015). Collecting Psycholinguistic Response Time Data Using Amazon Mechanical Turk. *PLoS ONE, 10,* e0116946.

Three self-paced reading experiments on MTurk

• Do small but meaningful RT differences seen in lab studies replicate online, despite reduced experimental control and increased variability in e.g. participant hardware?



Kelly Enochson (formerly George Mason University) Jenny Culbertson (Edinburgh)

Self-paced reading

Demo with this week's lab code!

Sample size, study duration etc

- Self-reported native speakers of English
- N=34 (Exp 1), 82 (Exp 2), 60 (Exp 3)
- 96-120 items per experiment (mainly fillers)
- 20 minutes, **\$1**

Test items and predicted effects (Experiment 1)

Filler-gap (in all sentences)

• Which antique was the maid polishing _____ in the study?

Full DP vs pronoun

- Which antique was **the maid** polishing in the study?
- Which antique was **she** polishing in the **upstairs** study?

Agreement attraction

Which antique was the maid polishing in the study? *Which antiques* was the maid polishing in the study?

Residual reading times

You would expect e.g. word length and frequency to influence reading time



From Underwood, G., Binns, A., & Walker, S. (2000). Attentional Demands on the Processing of Neighbouring Words. In I. Kennedy, R. Radach, D. Heller, & J. Pynte (Eds.), *Reading as a Perceptual Process* (pp 247-268). Amsterdam: North-Holland.

Residual reading times

Regression line – line of best fit, minimising residuals



Exp 1 results



Test items and predicted effects (Exps 2-3)

Experiment 2

- The slogan on the **poster** was designed to get attention
- The slogan on the **posters** was designed to get attention
- *The slogan on the **poster** were designed to get attention
- *The slogan on the **posters** were designed to get attention

Experiment 3

- The runner who the driver sees during the commute...
- The runners who the driver sees during the commute...
- *The runner who the driver see during the commute...
- *The runners who the driver see during the commute...

The slogan on the poster was designed to get attention The slogan on the posters was designed to get attention *The slogan on the poster were designed to get attention *The slogan on the posters were designed to get attention The runner who the driver sees during the commute... The runners who the driver sees during the commute... *The runner who the driver see during the commute... *The runners who the driver see during the commute...



region

region

Enochson & Culbertson's conclusions

MTurk is suitable for collecting reading-time data in self-paced reading tasks

- Similar patterns of results to those seen in lab tasks
- (Paper also includes lab replication of Exp 1)

They also make some suggestions re. Masters qualifications and batch sizes that I don't necessarily agree with – see my reading notes!

Time for Q&A/discussion on this week's reading

Next up

Wednesday, 9am: lab

- Our second proper experiment: self-paced reading
- If you are behind, do your best to get caught up before the lab

Next week:

- No lecture on 17th October
- Lab on 19th October is for catchup no new material